

2003 P76583

35

(12) INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization  
International Bureau(43) International Publication Date  
3 July 2003 (03.07.2003)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number  
WO 03/055220 A1(51) International Patent Classification<sup>7</sup>: H04N 7/24

(21) International Application Number: PCT/US02/38029

(22) International Filing Date:  
26 November 2002 (26.11.2002)


(25) Filing Language: English

(26) Publication Language: English

(30) Priority Data:  
10/028,470 19 December 2001 (19.12.2001) US(71) Applicant: INTEL CORPORATION [US/US]; 2200  
Mission College Boulevard, Santa Clara, CA 95052 (US).(72) Inventors: HOLLIMAN, Matthew; 1212 Oak Creek  
Way, Sunnyvale, CA 48089 (US). YEUNG, Minerva; 969  
Sutter Avenue, Sunnyvale, CA 94086 (US).(74) Agents: MALLIE, Michael, J. et al.; Blakely, Sokoloff,  
Taylor & Zafman LLP, 7th floor, 12400 Wilshire Boule-  
vard, Los Angeles, CA 90025 (US).(81) Designated States (*national*): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU,  
AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU,  
CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EC, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH,  
GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC,  
LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW,  
MX, MZ, NO, NZ, OM, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG,  
SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, UZ, VN,  
YU, ZA, ZM, ZW.(84) Designated States (*regional*): ARIPO patent (GH, GM,  
KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW),  
Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM),  
European patent (AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE,  
ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE, SK,  
TR), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ,  
GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

[Continued on next page]

(54) Title: AUTOMATIC MONITORING OF HOST SIGNAL QUALITY USING EMBEDDED DATA

(57) Abstract: Dynamic monitoring and correction of network or  
transcoding service quality is accomplished by use of watermarking  
techniques that allow accurate spatial and temporal localization of  
degraded or corrupted data sets without requiring access to an original  
source data set. Damage to watermarks, corresponding to damage to  
a data set in which the watermark is embedded, can be reported to a  
data processor to permit near real time modifications to data bandwidth  
or encoding techniques to reduce further data corruption.

WO 03/055220 A1

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

**Published:**

- with international search report
- before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of receipt of amendments

*For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.*

## **AUTOMATIC MONITORING OF HOST SIGNAL QUALITY USING EMBEDDED DATA**

### **TECHNICAL FIELD OF THE INVENTION**

The present invention relates to monitoring signal quality of transmitted media. More specifically, this invention provides automatic quality assessment of network  
5 transmitted audiovisual material using digital watermarking or other data embedding techniques.

### **BACKGROUND ART**

10 Multimedia services supporting manipulation, downloading, and streaming transmission of compressed multimedia content over both wired and wireless internetworks are attracting widespread interest of content providers, network service providers, and end consumers. Despite such interest in the deployment of media services, the current infrastructure does not yet fully and seamlessly support such capabilities. For  
15 example, although QoS (quality of service) has been an active area of research in recent years, bandwidth requirements are not yet guaranteed by today's 'best-effort' packet networks such as the public Internet, and in fact are even more variable in emerging wireless. As a result, streamed video/audio quality over present packet-based network connections can vary wildly based on factors such as link conditions, e.g. network  
20 congestion, or the service provider's bandwidth capacity.

While in some applications the content quality can be improved by retransmission of content following packet loss or other bit errors, this increases network latency and congestion, and may introduce substantial local memory buffering delays. In streaming  
25 applications, frequent buffering disrupts the continuity of the viewing experience, which despite improved rendered quality attributable to retransmission may actually result in a poorer perceived user experience (as compared to smoother playback with greater visual artifacts). In certain viewing scenario typically associated with mobile devices, even memory buffering may be severely limited or even impractical due to memory constraints

on the device. For these reasons, in streaming scenarios, it is expected that the effects of packet loss are likely to be observed in received content. In addition to conventional data loss from network errors, streaming media may also be subject to data loss as a result of data conversions. As content is delivered to devices of diverse capabilities, such

5 transcoding and format conversions will become increasingly commonplace. Such potential degradation of content introduces problems for content providers, network carriers, and end users. In comparison to typical TCP or HTTP-based downloaded data, received media content may arrive with varying degrees of quality.

10 To provide information related to the various data loss hazards expected in network streamed media, use of various quality of service mechanisms for quantifying the data loss are known. For example, one simple means of estimating content quality is to use the received bandwidth of the content as a quality metric, and expect better quality of service for a 300 Kb/s stream vs. a 200 Kb/s stream. Although easy to implement on the

15 client side, this approach is inadequate because it fails to take into account the impact of network problems such as transient packet losses or high frequency variations in available bandwidth.

Another possibility for measuring quality in a lossy network environment is to

20 monitor packet losses at the client side, and to use these as an indicator of the quality of the received content. However, this fails to take into account any quality loss introduced by transcoding or by a poor initial encoding. Furthermore, even if a high quality original is used for streaming, packet loss by itself is not necessarily a reliable indicator of received content quality. For example, dropped or corrupted packets in key frames (I-frames in the

25 MPEG standards, which are typically used as the basis to predict approximately the next dozen frames) are typically far more catastrophic than errors in predicted frames (e.g. B-frames in the MPEG family of standards, in which errors do not propagate in a video's temporal dimension).

30 A final possibility is to attempt to estimate reconstructed content quality at the client side, e.g. by performing automatic edge detection. However, this approach suffers from the fact that the client does not have access to the original content and can thus not necessarily quantify the extent of any degradations. Furthermore, the computing power

available at typical handheld devices is limited at present, and so complexity requirements are likely to be prohibitive amongst a diverse collection of target devices. As an alternative, the client could send a short description of the received content back to the server via an RTCP-like back-channel, e.g. describing certain salient points in the image, which the server could compare to the same features on the original content. However, this requires additional bandwidth, introduces a heavy computation burden on the server, and also does not necessarily capture all image degradations observed at the client side.

#### BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

The inventions will be understood more fully from the detailed description given below and from the accompanying drawings of embodiments of the inventions which, however, should not be taken to limit the inventions to the specific embodiments described, but are for explanation and understanding only.

Figure 1 generically illustrates a process for employing watermarking to measure quality of network service with respect to received data;

Figure 2 illustrates impact of packet loss on transmitted multimedia data;

Figure 3 is a example of an image after spatially embedding a watermark over the image, and before compression for transmission over a lossy network;

Figure 4 is an example of the image of Figure 3 reconstructed after suffering data degradation, with dark blocks representing data loss or corruption;

Figure 5 is illustrates the partial reconstruction of the embedded watermark, with dark blocks representing data loss or corruption;

Figure 6 illustrates distortion dependent watermark embedding; and

Figures 7-9 are flow diagrams illustrating various applications.

## DETAILED DESCRIPTION

As seen with respect to the block diagram of Figure 1, the present invention is a system 10 that aids in implementation of a quality of service monitoring system over a transiently or intermittently unreliable communication channel. The communication channel can be wired or wireless, packet or non-packet based, and can utilize commonly available control and transmission protocols, including those based on TCP/IP, 80211.a, or Bluetooth.

While the data can be any commonly available computer processed data, typically transmitted data is bandwidth intensive image, audio, or audiovisual data (image data 12). The image data 12 can be normally encoded for transmission as MPEG2, MPEG4, JPEG, Motion JPEG, or other sequentially presentable transform coded images. Because of the substantial data volume of uncompressed video streams, standard discrete cosine transform based quantization can be used for signal compression, although other compression schemes may also be used. In the illustrated embodiment of Figure 1, the quality of service tracking relies on a watermark embedding 14 into the image data, followed by data transmission 16, and watermark recovery and analysis 18. If the recovered watermark is not intact, the receiver can quantitatively determine quality degradation. An optional back channel 20 can be used to send information relating to signal quality back to a provider of image data, allowing near real time correction (by increasing bandwidth, for example) if quality of service parameters are not met. Generally, digital watermarking (block 14 of Figure 1) is a means of embedding information within a piece of content, e.g. a video, audio clip, or still image, such that it is imperceptible to a human observer, but can be recovered by an authorized detector. Commonly cited applications of watermarking include copyright notification, recipient tracing, and copy protection.

An important requirement of digital watermarking systems is that as long as a piece of watermarked content remains usable within some given 'bounds,' the watermark information should be recoverable. Conversely, once content becomes degraded beyond the point of usability, watermark information is typically no longer recoverable. For reasons of reliability, unwatermarked content should also result in no watermark

information being recovered. The precise meaning of 'usability' depends on a particular application. For copy protection and fingerprinting scenarios, the watermark must be robust to almost any operation the host signal can be expected to undergo. In contrast, for authentication scenarios, a watermark may be designed to break upon absolutely any  
5 modification ('fragile' watermarking), lossy compression ('semi-robust' watermarking), etc. Finally, in many cases, watermarks can be localized within content; i.e., if a particular segment of content is corrupted or degraded, only the corresponding portion of the watermark is damaged.

10 According to the present invention, such properties of typical watermarks can be used as a measure of received content quality. If content is received at a quality comparable to the original, by definition, an embedded watermark should be recoverable. In contrast, if packet losses or other transmission errors occur, or if the stream is transmitted at a lower bitrate, the reconstructed content is typically degraded in quality,  
15 and a recovered watermark should likewise be degraded. This allows content providers and/or network service providers to more precisely localize and characterize the extent of degradations to content. For example, using this information, an end user may be billed using a sliding scale based on the quality of service the consumer experiences.

20 Digital watermarking techniques can overcome the problem, as seen with respect to Figure 2, of the complex mapping between a video frame and its packetized components. In Figure 2 an encoding and packetizing system 30 for MPEG style coding includes an encoder 32 for converting an analog video signal into a series of digital images 34 consisting of a series of I, B, and P frames. This frame information is  
25 packetized for transmission by packetizer 36. Since an I-frame is typically fairly large as compared to packet size, it is generally split up over several packets. Lost packets (indicated by X's and dotted X's in the packet blocks 38) can have varying effects on image quality, with certain packet losses (the dotted X's) having less impact on quality than other loss of I or P frame packets (X's).

30

Simply equating packet loss to quality loss is generally inadequate, not only because of the differential value of packet data from different frame types, but because of the differential value of data derived from some regions of the video frame (e.g. the

difference between highly textured areas compared to a featureless background region. To measure intraframe quality using packet loss information alone would require much greater complexity in a streaming system that is required to support such a mapping. Furthermore, such operations as repacketization or transcoding would require

5 recalculation of packet weights, a computationally expensive task. Finally, including a measure of packet significance does not address occasional bit errors within packets, which may or may not be correctable and which can adversely impact the successful decoding of media data within the packet payload. Bit errors are typically not an issue in conventional wired networks, but may be more problematic in wireless applications.

10

As seen with respect to Figures 3, 4, and 5, digital watermarks can be used for error localization within image frames. Figure 3 is a example of an image 50 after spatially embedding a watermark over the image, and before compression for transmission over a lossy network. This simple image consists of a background 52 and several oval

15 shapes 54. Figure 4 is an image 60 reconstructed after suffering data loss during transmission over a network, with dark blocks 66 extending through the background 62 and ovals 64 representing data loss or corruption. Figure 5 is illustrates the partial reconstruction of the embedded watermark 70 from that image, with dark blocks 76 being damaged watermarks position correlated with image damage, and background 72 being

20 undamaged watermark position correlated with undamaged image.

In the particular case of streaming scenarios, watermarks for content quality monitoring do not have the same security requirements as, say, typical content protection or authentication watermarks. This is a direct consequence of the fact that, by definition,

25 they apply to real-time transmission of content and not content stored in any kind of persistent state. Thus, many quality monitoring detectors need not cope with more problematic distortions such as translation, scaling, digital-analog (D/A) conversion, etc. In a similar manner, watermark security in terms of counterfeiting, removal, etc. is not an issue. This greatly simplifies watermarking algorithm design, and allows for fast, light-

30 weight detectors in client-side players. The principal requirements of such a system are that (1) the watermark must be at least somewhat robust to the compression format in which the video is stored, ideally with the property that the watermark degrades gracefully

(e.g. linearly) as compression artifacts worsen, and (2) the watermark should degrade gracefully with increasingly severe channel errors.

One preferred method for watermark embedding is to employ a simple correlation-based technique over each video frame, either in the spatial domain or in a transform domain, such as on 8x8 DCT blocks. Most additive noise watermarking systems weight watermarks according to the corresponding local image's relative importance to the Human Visual System (HVS), so that little information is hidden in featureless areas where artifacts are readily observed, whereas more information is embedded in textured regions. That is, watermark embedding is of the form

$$I_n' = I_n + \alpha_n w_n,$$

where  $I$  is the original unwatermarked  $n$ th pixel or transform coefficient,  $\alpha_n$  is a locally adaptive non-negative weighting factor, and  $w_n$  is a pseudo-random watermark signal.

Subsequent watermark detection proceeds by computing a decision variable  $d$ ,

$$d = \frac{1}{N} \sum_n w_n I_n',$$

which is typically compared to a decision threshold to verify the existence of the watermark  $w$  in  $I'$ , i.e.  $E[d_{\text{unwatermarked}}] = 0$ , whereas  $E[d_{\text{watermarked}}] \gg 0$ . In particular, for a binary watermark  $w_n \in \{-1, 1\}$ ,  $E[d_{\text{watermarked}}] = \text{mean}(a)$ . The image  $I'$  is typically filtered, or a corrective term subtracted, to improve detection reliability. For the purpose of quality monitoring, it is the behavior of  $d$  in the presence of noise or channel errors that is of most interest. Advantageously,  $d$  degrades essentially linearly with increasing JPEG compression when applied to disjoint 8x8 DCT blocks in still images. This satisfies the first of the system requirements outlined above.

The second main requirement, i.e. graceful degradation following channel errors, is also satisfied by the scheme. Consider a region  $\hat{A}$  containing  $R$  elements, which is corrupted or otherwise not decodable and in which the watermark is thus no longer recoverable.

Furthermore, denote the corresponding original watermarked region as  $\hat{A}'$ , and assume  
 5 that the remaining portions of the image,  $I' - \hat{A}'$ , are left unaltered by errors. In this case, the decision variable  $d$  is computed as

$$\begin{aligned} d &= d_{\mathcal{R}} + d_{I' - \mathcal{R}'} \\ &= \frac{1}{N} \left( \sum_{n \in \mathcal{R}} w_n \mathcal{R}_n + \sum_{n \in I' - \mathcal{R}'} w_n I_n' \right) \end{aligned}$$

10

By the linearity of the expectation operator, for a binary watermark, the expected reduction in  $d$  is

$$\begin{aligned} E[\Delta d] &= E[d_{\mathcal{R}}] - E[d_{\mathcal{R}'}] \\ &= E[d_{\text{unwatermarked}}] - E \left[ \frac{1}{N} \left( \sum_{n \in \mathcal{R}'} w_n \mathcal{R}_n' \right) \right] \\ &= -\frac{R}{N} \alpha_{\mathcal{R}}. \end{aligned}$$

15

That is, by adapting the local watermark modulation strength  $\alpha$  over the image, the embedder can assign a measure of value to different regions in each image. More important regions contribute proportionally more to the overall correlation sum.

20 Furthermore, for two areas of equal visual importance, a larger region of change in one than the other would result in proportionally larger reductions in correlation. These properties satisfy the second basic requirement outlined above.

The magnitude of the decision variable therefore gives a quantifiable indication of  
 25 the 'global quality' over a detection window for data. In order to allow independent computation of the quality metric, the watermark embedder can optionally either scale the average embedding amplitude so that the decision variable  $d$  is known to vary over a fixed range, i.e.  $[0.0, 1.0]$ , or the uncorrupted value of  $d$  before transmission can be passed as

side information with each frame or video sequence so that the detector can translate and scale its output accordingly.

Although described above in the context of spatial quality monitoring, temporal  
5 quality monitoring, e.g. to estimate quality degradation following frame dropping and a resultant decrease in temporal resolution, can also be achieved by gradually varying watermarks in consecutive frames, so as to achieve the desired reduction in watermark correlation over a period of several frames.

10 In contrast to correlation detection, which is typically used for low bit rate data embedding, quantization methods can be used for higher data rate. In certain embodiments of quantization watermarking, a series of micro costs can be embedded as data locally throughout images, e.g. one micro cost in each, say, 32'32 region. The detector then recovers and sums the embedded information in each region to determine an overall macro  
15 cost. In regions where the image has been corrupted, the watermark will be destroyed and no information will be recovered. In regions that have not been corrupted, the watermark will be recoverable, up to some desired level of robustness to compression, and the necessary cost information will be extracted. This enables a precise, localized quality assessment over content.

20 Quantization-based methods also allow for semi-fragile watermarks. For example, bounded distortion authentication watermarks can also be used as a measure of received content quality. Image regions altered beyond specified bounds of 'acceptability', e.g. by packet loss or corruption, can be determined by the detector in order to evaluate overall  
25 received image quality. In certain embodiments, extent of degradation suffered by media content can be computed as a function of the image distortion. Figure 6 illustrates such an embodiment 80, with the computed watermark being correlated with the original watermark signal if the content is undistorted, and becoming increasingly uncorrelated with increasing distortion. As seen in Figure 6, to compute a distortion-dependent  
30 watermark the host signal is quantized with an ensemble of increasingly coarse quantizers 84, the output of each of which is used as input to a uniform pseudo-random noise generator 86 (PRNG). The PRNG input typically consists of the concatenation of the quantizer output, the PRNG number, the host signal location (e.g. DCT coefficient number

or pixel location), and a private key. The outputs of the uniform PRNGs are summed and normalized to synthesize a Gaussian signal (i.e. drawn from  $N(0,1)$ ), which is then taken to be the watermark signal 88 used for embedding or detection.

5           If the image is undistorted, all quantizers produce the same outputs in detection as were used in insertion, so the embedded/extracted watermark correlation is 1.0. However, as the image becomes increasingly distorted, depending on the quantizer bin sizes chosen, an increasing number of quantizers produce different outputs during extraction than they did during insertion, and thus the watermark signal becomes increasingly uncorrelated  
10   between the embedder and detector. The choice of the quantizers determines the robustness/sensitivity of the scheme to distortions.

          In operation, this invention simplifies automatic assessment of received perceptual quality of image or audiovisual content, without necessarily requiring access to either the  
15   original uncorrupted material or any side information. Possible applications include the ability for carriers to bill users proportionally according to the perceived value of their media viewing experiences, the ability for content providers to verify that carriers are providing an adequate quality of service when delivering their content to users, or in transcoders where automated quality monitoring may be used within a feedback loop so as  
20   to ensure that certain quality bounds are maintained. As will be appreciated, this invention is not limited streaming media, but is generally applicable to a variety of present wired and emerging wireless applications.

          As will be understood, this invention can be used in various systems or  
25   applications. For example, one possible application uses the potential ability to automatically monitor content to reduce viewing errors. A receiver (e.g., a computer, handheld device, set top box, etc.) can be configured to monitor content quality and determine whether or not received content should be rendered. For example, as seen in Figure 7, a system 100 supporting a DVD player that reads from a scratched DVD disc or  
30   a streaming client that receives content over a wired or wireless link can be used to automatically estimate the quality of its received content and decide to use error concealment when material is determined to be corrupted. If a corrupted signal is received, the receiver can make the determination to use error concealment, e.g. by repeating either

an entire previous video or audio frame, or by replacing the region that was found to be corrupted with another signal.

In another possible application illustrated with respect to the system illustrated by flow diagram 120 in Figure 8, automatic quality monitoring can be used to modify billing or network quality of service parameters based on signal quality. For example, the received quality may be taken into account when the content provider and/or service provider compute how much to bill the client, so that if a lower quality is received at either an intermediate node in the network or at the client, a lower fee is charged for the service. Each party involved in the transmission of content, from the content provider through the network service provider to the end client, bills—or is billed by—others as a function of the quality of received content. For example, consider a content provider who negotiates a contract with the service provider to ensure a particular quality of service for his/her content, or a client who pays on a sliding scale according to the quality of his/her viewing experience. In both cases, quality monitoring is used as input to the billing system. As seen in Figure 8, the content generator transmits a signal along a communication for reception and evaluation of signal quality. At a varying rate determined as a function of the received signal quality, the client is billed by the content provider/service provider.

In another application illustrated with respect to the flow diagram 140 in Figure 9, automatic quality monitoring is used as part of a feedback channel to modify encoding and/or transmission parameters in real-time. For example, if the client receives a high-quality signal, there are few losses in the channel, so the source further increases the quality of the signal it sends. On the other hand, if the client receives a low-quality signal, the source adaptively switches to a lower bit-rate stream, or uses stronger error correction techniques to compensate for the lossy channel. As seen in Figure 9, a signal is generated, transmitted through a channel to a node in the network, (i.e. an intermediate node or the eventual client) that receives and estimates the signal quality. The estimated quality is used in a feedback channel to adjust parameters of transmission by the signal generator. If the received quality is estimated to be lower than some threshold, the source may encode and/or transmit a lower bit-rate stream, send fewer enhancement layers when sending an MPEG-4 Fine Granularity Scalability (FGS) stream, or use additional error correction to

improve signal quality. Conversely, if the received quality is larger than some threshold, a higher bit-rate stream may be sent or less error correction used during transmission.

Software implementing the foregoing methods, encoders, and decoders described above can be stored in the memory of a computer system (e.g., set top box, video recorders, etc.) as a set of instructions to be executed. In addition, the instructions to perform the method, encoders, and decoders as described above could alternatively be stored on other forms of machine-readable media, including magnetic and optical disks. For example, the method of the present invention could be stored on machine-readable media, such as magnetic disks or optical disks, which are accessible via a disk drive (or computer-readable medium drive). Further, the instructions can be downloaded into a computing device over a data network in a form of compiled and linked version.

Alternatively, the logic to perform the methods, encoders, and decoders as discussed above, could be implemented in additional computer and/or machine readable media, such as discrete hardware components as large-scale integrated circuits (LSI's), application-specific integrated circuits (ASIC's), firmware such as electrically erasable programmable read-only memory (EEPROM's); and electrical, optical, acoustical and other forms of propagated signals (e.g., carrier waves, infrared signals, digital signals, etc.); etc. Furthermore, the encoders and decoders as described above could be implanted on the same hardware component, such as a graphics controller that may or may not be integrated into a chipset device.

Reference in the specification to "an embodiment," "one embodiment," "some embodiments," or "other embodiments" means that a particular feature, structure, or characteristic described in connection with the embodiments is included in at least some embodiments, but not necessarily all embodiments, of the invention. The various appearances "an embodiment," "one embodiment," or "some embodiments" are not necessarily all referring to the same embodiments.

If the specification states a component, feature, structure, or characteristic "may", "might", or "could" be included, that particular component, feature, structure, or characteristic is not required to be included. If the specification or claim refers to "a" or

"an" element, that does not mean there is only one of the element. If the specification or claims refer to "an additional" element, that does not preclude there being more than one of the additional element.

5 Those skilled in the art having the benefit of this disclosure will appreciate that many other variations from the foregoing description and drawings may be made within the scope of the present invention. Accordingly, it is the following claims including any amendments thereto that define the scope of the invention.

10

## CLAIMS

What is claimed is:

1. A method of  
embedding a watermark in a data set,  
5 processing the data using some parameter set,  
determining presence of data corruption of the data set with respect to an original  
data set by measuring the amount of a recovered watermark, and  
adjusting the parameter set for the data processing based on the presence of data  
corruption.
- 10 2. The method of claim 1, further comprising processing the data set by transform  
encoding the data set.
3. The method of claim 1, further comprising processing the data set by packetizing  
and transmitting the data set.
4. The method of claim 1, further comprising identifying image frame errors in  
15 packet transmitted audiovisual data sets.
5. The method of claim 1, wherein adjusting the parameter set further comprises  
modifying network bandwidth to compensate for data corruption of the data set.
6. The method of claim 1, wherein determining presence of data corruption further  
comprises quantitatively measuring spatial extent of corruption of image data sets.
- 20 7. The method of claim 1, wherein determining presence of data corruption further  
comprises quantitatively measuring temporal duration of corruption of data sets.
8. An article comprising a computer readable medium to store computer executable  
instructions, the instructions defined to cause a computer to  
embed a watermark in a data set,  
25 process the data using some parameter set,

determine presence of data corruption in the data set with respect to an original data set by measuring the amount of a recovered watermark, and  
adjust the parameter set for the data processing based on the presence of data corruption.

5 9. The article comprising a computer readable medium to store computer executable instructions of claim 8, wherein the instructions further cause a computer to process the data set by transform encoding the data set.

10 10. The article comprising a computer readable medium to store computer executable instructions of claim 8, wherein the instructions further cause a computer to process the data set by packetizing and transmitting the data set.

11. The article comprising a computer readable medium to store computer executable instructions of claim 8, wherein the instructions further cause a computer to identify image frame errors in packet transmitted audiovisual data sets.

15 12. The article comprising a computer readable medium to store computer executable instructions of claim 8, wherein the instructions further cause a computer to adjust the parameter set by modifying network bandwidth to compensate for data corruption of the data set.

20 13. The article comprising a computer readable medium to store computer executable instructions of claim 8, wherein the instructions further cause a computer to determine presence of data corruption by quantitatively measuring spatial extent of corruption of image data sets.

25 14. The article comprising a computer readable medium to store computer executable instructions of claim 8, wherein the instructions further cause a computer to determine presence of data corruption by quantitatively measuring temporal duration of corruption of data sets.

15. A data degradation measurement system comprising

a watermarking module to embed a recoverable watermark in a data set,  
a processing module for modifying the data using some parameter set, and  
a watermark recovery module to determine presence of data corruption of the data set with respect to an original data set by measuring the amount of a recovered watermark.

- 5 16. The method of claim 15, wherein the processing module further comprises a transform encoding processor to process the data set by transform encoding the data set.
17. The method of claim 15, wherein the processing module further comprises a packetizer to process the data set by packetizing and transmit the data set.
18. The method of claim 15, wherein the watermark recovery module further detects  
10 image frame errors in packet transmitted audiovisual data sets.
19. The method of claim 15, wherein the processing module adjusts the parameter set by modifying network bandwidth to compensate for data corruption of the data set.
20. The method of claim 15, wherein the watermark recovery module quantitatively measures spatial extent of corruption of image data sets.
- 15 21. The method of claim 15, wherein the watermark recovery module quantitatively measures temporal duration of corruption of data sets.
22. The method of claim 15, further comprising a back channel transmitter to communicate information to the processing module to adjust the parameter set for the data processing based on the presence of data corruption detected by the watermark recovery  
20 module.
23. A method of  
receiving an embedded watermark in a data set,  
determining quality of the received data set with respect to an original data set by measuring the amount of a recovered watermark, and

adjusting determined billing value of the received data set based on the determined quality.

24. The method of claim 23, wherein adjusted billing value is partitioned between a content provider and a service provider.
- 5 25. The method of claim 23, wherein the data set is provided by a content encoder, and wherein the determined quality of the received data set is transmitted to the content encoder to permit encoding adjustments.
26. The method of claim 23, wherein the data set is transmitted by a service provider, and wherein the determined quality of the received data set is transmitted to the service  
10 provider to permit quality of service adjustments.
27. The method of claim 23, wherein the determined quality of the received data set is used to drop data frames from playback having less than a predetermined quality level.
28. A method of  
embedding a watermark in a data set to allow reception-side determination of  
15 quality of the data set with respect to an original data set by measuring the amount of a recovered watermark,  
transmitting the data set having the embedded watermark, and  
accepting information about determined quality of the transmitted data set and  
adjusting at least one of a data encoding parameter or transmission parameter in response  
20 for later transmitted data.
29. A method of  
embedding a watermark in an original data set,  
transmitting the data set having the embedded watermark over a packet based  
network to a receiver,  
25 determining quality of the received data set with respect to the original data set by measuring the amount of a recovered watermark, and

adjusting determined billing value of the received data set based on the determined quality with respect to the original data set.

1/9




Fig. 1



3/9




Fig. 3

4/9




Fig. 4

5/9




Fig. 5

6/9




Fig. 6

7/9




Fig. 7

120

8/9




Fig. 8




Fig. 9

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No

PCT/US 02/38029

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER  
IPC 7 H04N7/24

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

## B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 7 H04N

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

EPO-Internal, PAJ, WPI Data

## C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	EP 0 853 294 A (IBM) 15 July 1998 (1998-07-15)	1,2,4,8, 9,11,15, 16,18
A	page 3, line 28 - line 52 page 4, line 29 - line 34 page 6, line 10 - line 26 page 7, line 35 - line 40	3,5-7, 10, 12-14, 17,19-29
P,X	--- WO 02 23468 A (DIGIMARC CORP ;BRUNK HUGH L (US); LEVY KENNETH L (US); TIAN JUN (U) 21 March 2002 (2002-03-21) the whole document --- -/--	1-29

☒ Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.☒ Patent family members are listed in annex.

## \* Special categories of cited documents :

- \*A\* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- \*E\* earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- \*L\* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- \*O\* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- \*P\* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

- \*T\* later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
- \*X\* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
- \*Y\* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.
- \*&\* document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

15 April 2003

Date of mailing of the international search report

24/04/2003

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2  
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk  
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,  
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Fantini, F

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No

PCT/US 02/38029

## C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	<p>YEUNG M M ET AL: "AN INVISIBLE WATERMARKING TECHNIQUE FOR IMAGE VERIFICATION" PROCEEDINGS OF THE INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON IMAGE PROCESSING. ICIP 1998. SANTA BARBARA, CA, OCT. 26 - 29, 1997, LOS ALAMITOS, CA: IEEE COMPUTER SOCIETY, US, vol. 2, 26 October 1997 (1997-10-26), pages 680-683, XP000957435 ISBN: 0-8186-8184-5 page 682</p> <p>-----</p>	1-29

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No

PCT/US 02/38029

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
EP 0853294	A	15-07-1998	US 5875249 A	23-02-1999
			EP 0853294 A2	15-07-1998
			JP 10208026 A	07-08-1998
			JP 2002314799 A	25-10-2002
			KR 265143 B1	01-09-2000
WO 0223468	A	21-03-2002	US 2002031240 A1	14-03-2002
			US 2002054355 A1	09-05-2002
			US 2002099943 A1	25-07-2002
			AU 9082201 A	26-03-2002
			AU 9265901 A	26-03-2002
			WO 0223468 A1	21-03-2002
			WO 0223905 A1	21-03-2002
			US 2002076083 A1	20-06-2002
			US 2002076084 A1	20-06-2002
			US 2002052885 A1	02-05-2002
			US 2002033844 A1	21-03-2002
			AU 1163402 A	22-04-2002
			WO 0231752 A1	18-04-2002
			US 2002157005 A1	24-10-2002
			WO 03019465 A1	06-03-2003

Docket # 2003P16583

Applic. # \_\_\_\_\_

Applicant: Heutter, et al.

Lerner Greenberg Steiner LLP

Post Office Box 2480

Hollywood, FL 33022-2480

Tel: (954) 925-1100 Fax: (954) 925-1101

**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning  
Operations and is not part of the Official Record**

**BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES**

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

☐ **BLACK BORDERS**

☒ **IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES**

☒ **FADED TEXT OR DRAWING**

☒ **BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING**

☐ **SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES**

☐ **COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS**

☐ **GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS**

☒ **LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT**

☐ **REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY**

☐ **OTHER:** \_\_\_\_\_

**IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.**

**As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.**

THIS PAGE BLANK

THIS PAGE BLANK

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPIC)

THIS PAGE BLANK